

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/014,588	ASAMURA, YOSHINORI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PAUL A BELL	2675	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** AFTER FINAL

(1) PAUL A BELL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) MARTIN GEISSLER # 51,011.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 5, and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Applicants representative discussed the features of independent claims 1,5, and 6 in view of prior art of record.  
Applicants representative proposed a clarification amendment to claims 1, 5, and 6 which examiner agreed to enter as an examiner's amendment if doing so would make the case allowable. .